

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandia, Vignia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 05/01/2001 0942.5040001/RWE/MTT 09/845,157 Michael D. Smith 2674 7590 26111 07/28/2003 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC **EXAMINER** 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN WASHINGTON, DC 20005 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1634

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/845,157	SMITH ET AL:	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey Fredman	1634	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	orr spondenc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,10-18,24,26-28 and 44-50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-6,8,9,19-23,25 and 29.			
8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. ☐ Other:			
		Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634	

Advisory Action

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 009/845,157

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments which delete particular members of the Markush group, which limit the type of polymerase, which indicate "reduced RNAse H activity relative to wild type enzyme" all require further search and consideration. Therefore, these amendments will not be entered..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed towards the claims as amended. Since the amendment was not entered, the arguments are not persuasive.